

Comment by Donald Coleman 1046 Crestlane (Village X):

05/21 13:10 Login Rejected

The board solicits comments from members - and promises to make those comments available for all members to see (as required by law). Then posts them on a website which members are not able to access!

This entire ballot harvesting scheme and propaganda campaign being that is currently being conducted by partisan activists on the board (while hiding member comments from other members) is turning into a giant mess.

A board's responsibility is to manage the HOA in accordance with the existing covenants and laws and for the benefit of all property owners - not to change the covenants to suit a few favored property owners.

The board's conduct may very well be a violation of WA RCW 64.38.025(2) - which prohibits a board from acting to amend the Articles of Incorporation.

Please forward all member comments to all members immediately via US Mail until this website problem is corrected so that all members have access.

05/22 10:03

As outlined in the recent memo from the board, please share all member comments you have received to date in print with all other members (including myself).

Failure/refusal of the board to comply with this request will be considered a violation of RCW 64.38.120 (6)(b)(iv).

Also, please consider having someone fact check the information the board is disseminating, including the recent letter from Olson to members - which contain misleading and untruthful information.

Please also post and include this message to all members in print.

Response by Kurt Carlson 921 SE LARKSPUR (Village 2):

1. The vote comments do not require a login into member-contents, public can access them.
2. As stated in 5/16 email echoing the mailed notice:

comments will be shared on the Villages website:

<https://villagesofgarrisoncreek.com/proposed-documents>

and in print, if requested.

This is in compliance with RCW 64.38.120(6)(b)(iv). We will print, deliver, or mail all comments if requested by a member. I honestly do not believe all members want to pay for first class mail delivery as demanded, without legal backing, by Mr. Coleman. That is not fiscally appropriate. There were previous complaints about emailing comments which resulted in web posting.

3. With regards to 'login rejected' on the member-contents, the password protected pages are subject to attack both by external entities (common on the internet) and likely local to break or hack the site. The board is evaluating eliminating the password protection as it is an inconvenience to members and a significant time drain on *volunteers* who maintain the site. However, before this can be done it is necessary to review all content so no PPI (personal private information) is exposed. That will take time from *volunteers* who are already stretched very thin. In the interim, as stated above, the vote comments are posted on a public page with only name and physical address as identifiers. On Sunday, 5/21, Mr. Coleman and others were locked out of members-content (not vote comments) by login failures. Our webmaster is out of town, a backup *volunteer* was contacted (on a Sunday) by multiple Board members (also *volunteers*) who rectified their lockouts after some digging.